

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE LIBOR-BASED FINANCIAL  
INSTRUMENTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 1:11-md-2262-NRB

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

*County of San Diego v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00667;

*City of Riverside, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00597;

*City of Richmond, et al. v. Bank of America, Corp. et. al.*, No. 13-cv-00627;

*County of San Mateo, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00625;

*East Bay Municipal Utility District v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00626;

*San Diego Association of Governments v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05221;

*County of Sacramento v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05569;

*The Regents of the University of California v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05186;

*County of Sonoma, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05187; and

*City of Mendocino v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-08644.

**Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice  
of Defendant Royal Bank of Canada  
Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii)**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between Plaintiffs in the above-captioned actions and Defendant Royal Bank of Canada that all of Plaintiffs' respective claims against Defendant Royal Bank of Canada only are hereby dismissed with prejudice from the actions *City*

*of Richmond, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00627; *City of Riverside, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00597; *County of Mendocino v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-08644; *County of Sacramento v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05569; *County of San Diego v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00667; *County of San Mateo, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00625; *County of Sonoma, et al. v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05187; *East Bay Municipal Utility District v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00626; *San Diego Association of Governments v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05221; and *The Regents of the University of California v. Bank of America Corp., et al.*, No. 13-cv-05186,<sup>1</sup> pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, without interest to any party and with each party to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

Dated: November 26, 2024



Nanci E. Nishimura  
Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP  
San Francisco Airport Office Center  
840 Malcolm Road  
Burlingame, CA 94010  
Email: nnishimura@cpmlegal.com

*Counsel to Plaintiffs*



Brian J. Poronsky  
J Matthew Haws  
Anna Porter  
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP  
525 West Monroe Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60661  
Telephone: (312) 902-5200  
brian.poronsky@kattenlaw.com  
matthew.haws@katten.com  
anna.porter@katten.com

*Counsel to Defendant Royal Bank of Canada*

---

<sup>1</sup> See Direct Action Plaintiffs' Consolidated First Amended Complaint, 11-MD-2262, Dkt. No. 684.